Interview Summary

Application No.

Examiner

09/402,277

Frank Lu

Appheant(s)

Kawashima et al., Group Art Unit

1655



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Frank Lu (3)
(2) Ms. Mary Wilson (Reg. No. 32,955) (4)
Date of Interview Aug 24, 2001
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) 🗌 Yes e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:
Identification of prior art discussed:
identification of prior art discussed.
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ was reached. g was not reached. h X N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
Mr. Lu informed Ms. Wilson that he would withdraw the finality of previous office action.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked)
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.